



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,324	01/04/2002	H. William Bosch	029318-0107	2223
75	90 07/01/2004		EXAM	INER
Michele M. Simkin			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			1617	
Washington, DC 20007-5143			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

10/035324

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

6/1/04

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	This action is FINAL.					
	accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 U.G. 213.					
whi the	nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s), or thirty days, ond within the period for response will cause ay be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR				
	position of Claims					
\mathbf{X}	Claim(s) 1-34	is/are pending in the application.				
,	Of the above, claim(s)	IS/AIC WILLIAM III				
	Claim(s)					
님	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
	plication Papers					
Αþ	•					
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-946	are objected to by the examine.				
The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed onis approved						
H	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
received.						
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
A	ttachment(s)					
	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892					
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
_	Interview Summary, PTO-413					
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
Г	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
	THE FOLLOWING PAGES.					

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

Application/Control Number: 10/035,324

Art Unit: 1617

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass
 489.
- Claims 15-30, drawn to a method of making, classified in class 264, subclass 140.
- III. Claims 31-34, drawn to a method of using, classified in class 514, subclass 169+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions Π and Π are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as extrusion spraying.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different product such as a solution.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,324

Art Unit: 1617

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 9 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising secondary surface stabilizers. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claim 11 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising forms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1617

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicants elect Group III the following election of species is required:

Claim 33 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising diseases. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A phone restriction was not attempted in view of the complexity of the requirement.

Should applicants elect the composition, method claims will be rejoined upon allowable subject matter per MPEP 821.04.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.